LITHIC IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS

PURPOSE

The purpose of this class is to give members of the Arizona Archaeological Society a working knowledge of, and the ability to, identify and sort lithic materials found in Arizona. The course is intended to focus on a specific site, a series of related sites, or a region of the state. Members may take this class several times to become proficient with the lithics of various prehistoric culture areas.

Emphasis is placed on identifying specific rock types, recognizing minerals, crystals, rock forming processes and analyzing human modification techniques.

PREREQUISITES

The only requirement is the completion of Prehistory of the Southwest. However, it is strongly recommended that the participant have completed Laboratory Techniques.

FORMAT

The course is designed to be presented in 60 hours, with 20 hours of lecture and 40 hours of laboratory processing, identification and analysis experience. Optional field trips would be included within the laboratory hours.

COURSE STRUCTURE

The content will be presented through lecture, demonstration, hands-on experience, and practical quizzes. Students will work as a class, in groups, and as individuals depending on the skill to be mastered. They will wash, sort, and analyze lithic materials provided by the host chapter. Each student will choose and complete a lithic research project by the end of the course.

RECOMMENDATION

It is highly recommended that serious students in lithic analysis who intend to continue as a member of a project team should create a rock collection gathered from the site project area.

FIELD TRIPS

Field trips will be arranged depending on the needs of the students and the availability of permission from landowners to enter site area.

REQUIRED TEXTS

Adams, Jenny L.

2002 Ground Stone Analysis: A Technological Approach. The University of Utah Press. Salt Lake City.

Sliva, R. Jane

1997 Introduction to the Study and Analysis of Flaked Stone Artifacts and Lithic Technology. Center for Desert Archaeology. Tucson, Arizona.

RECOMMENDED ELECTIVE TEXTS

Adrefsky, William Jr.

2003 Lithic Debitage: Context, Form, Meaning. The University of Utah Press
Salt Lake City.

Kardulias, P. Nick, and Richard W. Yerkes

2003 Written in Stone: The Multiple Dimensions of Lithic Analysis. Lexington Books. Altamira Press. California.

Mottana, Annibale, Rodolfo Crespi and Giuseppe Liborio (latest version)

1978 Simon and Schuster's *Guide to Rocks and Minerals*. Simon and Schuster. New York

MATERIALS NEEDED

- A. Each student should provide:
 - 1. 10x hand lens
 - 2. Contact goniometer
 - 3. Calipers 15cm+
 - 4. Calculator
 - 5. Analysis forms
- B. Laboratory should be equipped with:
 - 1. Ohause triple beam balance 2, 6,10g
 - 2. Munsell ROCK color chart (2)
 - 3. Marking materials (BIC Brand Quick Dry White Out; PIGMA Brand Micron 02 #1 Archival Black Ink .30mm; CLEAR fingernail polish)
 - 4. Various versions of rock and mineral identification guides
 - 5. Basic rock and mineral collection for reference (optional)

COURSE OBJECTIVES

At the conclusion of the course, students will be able to

- 1. Recognize basic lithic types that characterize the area of study
- 2. Understand the significance of flaked and groundstone artifacts to archaeologists
- 3. Understand the laboratory tools necessary for lithic analysis
- 4. Recognize informal and formal lithic tools in the field as well as in the laboratory
- 5. Sort lithic materials based on rock type, fracture and possible use
- 6. Separate and categorize flaked stone tools
- 7. Identify the basic fractures associated with specific tool types
- 8. Explain the role of debitage in the analysis of lithic assemblages
- 9. Describe the variations seen in pecked and ground stone use
- 10. Relate the project research design to the format of the analysis sheet
- 11. Identify the minimal lithic attributes to be recorded for any chosen level of analysis
- 12. Become familiar with the appropriate Arizona Bureau of Mines Geologic Map for the county where the project site is located
- 13. Establish a reference collection of local rock types that may be of use in the manufacture of lithic materials

COURSE OUTLINE

A. Introduction to Basic Rocks and Minerals

- 1. Igneous Rock Consolidation of a magma
 - a. Mode of formation: extrusive (volcanic), hypobyssal, intrusive (plutonic)
 - b. Major examples: alkaline feldspar granite, granite, diorite, ophiolitic gabbro, alkaline feldspar, rhyolite, dacite, andesite, basalt, obsidian, diabase
- 2. Sedimentary Rock Transformed preexisting rocks
 - a. Mode of formation: alteration, transportation, erosion,
 deposition
 - b. Major examples: conglomerate, arkose, argillite, jasper, compact limestone, dolomite, flints, sandstone
- 3. Metamorphic Rock
 - a. Mode of formation: re-crystallization due to temperature
 and/or pressure
 - b. Major examples: quartzite, phyllite, mica schist, chlorite schist, gneiss, serpentine, slate

A. Introduction to Basic Rocks and Minerals (continued)

- 4. Minerals
 - a. Solid crystalline substances
 - b. Crystal morphology and its importance
 - c. Major examples: copper, galena, hematite, malachite, turquoise, epidote, muscovite, biotite, quartz, chalcedony
- 5. Distribution of rock types
 - a. Identify rock sources for local tools using the Arizona Bureau of Mines Geologic Map for their county
 - b. Locate closest sources, outcrops, and roadbeds near project site

B Rock Tool Types and Tasks

- 1. Flaked stone
 - a. Description
 - Raw material
 - Processing
 - b. Usage
 - Cutting
 - Scraping
 - Projecting
- 1. Ground and pecked stone
 - a. Description
 - b. Usage
 - Food processing
 - Storage
 - Agriculture
 - War(?)

C. Mechanics of Tool Manufacture

- 1. Breaking of cobbles and nodules
- 2. Biface shaping and thinning
- 3. Direct percussion
 - a. Hard hammer
 - b. Soft hammer
 - c. Use of anvil bipolar
- 4. Indirect percussion
- 5. Pressure flaking
- 6. Retouching

D Flaked Stone Analysis

- 1. Flake technology
 - a. Terms associated with flakes
 - Soft hammer dorsal, ventral, platform, lip, eralure, diffuse bulb of force, preparation flake scars, fissures or hackles, contact area, previous blade scar, dorsal ridge areas
 - Hard hammer pronounced bulb of force, slightly crushed contact area, absence of lip
 - b. Basic types of flakes
 - amputated, backed, lade, prismatic
 - c. Stages of manufacture
 - primary, secondary, tertiary
- 2. Flaked tools
 - a. Definitions: knife, scraper, graver, chopper
 - b. Types of Edges
 - Unifacial less formal
 - Bifacial more formal, less common, ex. projectile points
- 3. Cores Raw material
 - a. Amorphous
 - b. Unidirectional
 - c. Bidirectional
 - d. Discoid
 - e. Exhausted
 - f. Multidirectional
 - g. Polyhedral
 - h. Residual
 - i. Tabular
- 4. Hammerstones Core reduction
 - a. Angular
 - b. Cobble
 - Round
 - Elongated
 - c. Core
 - d. Discoid
 - e. Spheroid
 - f. File
 - q. Flaked
- 5. Debitage Waste flakes
 - a. Recognition of waste flakes
 - b. Use of debitage
 - determine techniques of manufacture
 - study technological traits
 - c. Intentional and unintentional breaking of artifacts.

E. Ground and Pecked Tool Analysis

- 1. Design and Manufacture
 - a. As relates to specific project and research design
- 2. Determining Function
 - a. Form does not always reflect function
 - b. Use of historic photographs
 - c. Use of ethnology
 - d. Use of experimental archaeology
- 3. Debitage
- 4. Types of tools
 - a. Abrading, smoothing and polishing
 - b. Grinding and pulverizing
 - mano, metate (basin, slab, trough), mortar, pestle, palette
 - c. Percussion
 - pottery anvil, pecking stones, choppers, chisels, crushers(?)
 - d. Hafted percussion
 - axe, adze, hoe
 - e. Perforating, cutting and scraping
 - reamers, awls, saws and files, planes
 - f. Spinning
 - spindle whorl
 - g. Paraphernalia
 - balls, stone rings, plummet, pigments, ornaments
 - h. Containers
 - bowls, censers

F. Laboratory Processing of Lithic Materials

- 1. Definitions
- 2. Stone modification
 - a. Natural
 - b. Human
- 3. Cleaning
- 4. Preservation
- 5. Markings
- 6. Measurements needed
- 7. Low or high level magnification

G. Role of the Research Design

- 1. Site research design
- 2. Lithic research questions

- 3. Development of analysis forms for date recording
 - a. Arizona State University
 - b. Glendale Community College
 - Coldwater Ruin
 - Quass Pueblo
 - c. Phoenix Chapter Arizona Archaeological Society
 - Casa de Piedras
 - d. Center for Desert Archaeology
 - e. University of Denver
- 4. Specific (special) data forms

H. Summary

- 1. Emphasis on the importance of:
 - a. physical geology
 - b. flake technology
 - c. the ability to recognize and separate rock types
 - d. the ability to recognize and separate cultural artifacts
 - e. non-cultural lithic material
 - f. being able to interpret lithic materials in site and nonsite contexts

REFERENCES FOLLOW ON PAGES 8 AND 9

REFERENCES (*) indicates substitution with local site reports

Adams, Jenny L.

1997 Manual for a Technological Approach to Ground Stone Analysis. Center for Desert Archaeology. Tucson, Arizona. OP and republished as:

2002 Ground Stone Analysis: A Technological Approach. The University of Utah Press. Salt Lake City.

Adrefsky, William Jr.

2003 Lithic Debitage: Context, Form, Meaning. The University of Utah Press
Salt Lake City.

Antieau, John M.

1981 The Palo Verde Archaeological Investigation Hohokam Settlement at the Confluence Excavations Along the Palo Verde Pipeline. Museum of Northern Arizona Research Papers 20. Flagstaff.*

Arizona Bureau of Mines

1957 Geologic Map of Maricopa County. United States Geologic Survey. University of Arizona. Tucson*

Bruder, J. Simon and Robert E. Gasser

1983 Lithics. In Archaeological Investigations at the Adobe Dam Alternative Site. No. 4, Phoenix, Arizona. pp. 93-134. *Museum of Northern Arizona Research Paper No. 27*. Flagstaff*

Crabtree, Don C.

1972 An Introduction to Flintworking: Occasional Paper 28. Idaho State University Museum. Pocatello

Craig, Douglas B. (editor)

2001 The Grewe Archaeological Research Project Volume 2: Material Culture Part II: Stone, Shell, and Bone Artifacts and Biological Remains. Northland Research, Inc. Anthropological Papers No 99-1. Flagstaff and Tempe.*

Doyel, David E. and mark D. Elson (editors)

1985 Hohokam Settlement and Economic Systems in Central New River Drainage, Arizona.

Soil Systems Publications in Archaeology, No. 4. Phoenix*

Gladwin, Harold S., Emil W. Haury, Edwin B. Sayles and Nora Gladwin 1965 Excavations at Snaketown: Material Culture. *Medallion Paper, No. 25*. Gila Pueblo: Globe. reprinted by the University of Arizona Press. Tucson*

Griffith, Richard

1980 A Detailed Analysis of the Projectile Points Recovered from Phases 1 Through 4 of the Vandalism Studies Conducted at Casa de Piedras, AZ T:7:5 (ASU). Gifted Seminar, Alhambra High School. MS on file at Agua Fria Chapter, Arizona Archaeological Society: Phoenix and Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona, Tucson.*

Haury, Emil W.

1945 The Excavation of Los Muertos and Neighboring Ruins in the Salt River Valley. Southern Arizona Papers of the Peobody Museum American Archaeology and Ethnology. Vol. 24, No. 1. Cambridge.* 1976 The Hohokam Desert Farmers and Craftsmen: Excavations at Snaketown, 1964-1965. The University of Arizona Press. Tucson*

Jernigan, E. Wesley

1978 Jewelry of the Prehistoric Southwest. University of New Mexico Press. Albuquerque.

Kardulias, P. Nick, and Richard W. Yerkes

2003 Written in Stone: The Multiple Dimensions of Lithic Analysis. Lexington Books. Altamira Press. California.

Mottana, Annibale, Rodolfo Crespi and Giuseppe Liborio 1978 Simon and Schuster's *Guide to Rocks and Minerals*. Simon and Schuster. New York.

Neusius, Phillip D.

1984 Functional Analysis of Selected Flaked Lithic Assemblages from the Dolores River Valley: A Low Power Microware Approach.

Dolores Archaeological Program Technical Reports, No. 2ap - 165.*

Parry, William J. and Robert L. Kelly

1987 Expedient Core Technology and Sedentism. In *The Organization of Core Technology*.
Edited by K. J. Johnson and C. A. Morrow. pp. 285-304. Westview Press. Boulder

Rodgers, James

1987 Studies along the Lower Agua Fria River. The Eastwing Site and the Marinette Canal. *Museum of Northern Arizona Research Paper 37*. Flagstaff*

Shoger, Maurice Dean

2003 "Down in the Dumps" The Archaeological Investigations of Quass Pueblo - A Small Farm Site on the Agua Fria River, Southern Arizona. General Papers #1. Quass Pueblo Research Association. Phoenix.*

Sliva, R. Jane

1997 Introduction to the Study and Analysis of Flaked Stone Artifacts and Lithic Technology. Center for Desert Archaeology. Tucson, Arizona.

This page intentionally blank